

# Epidemiology of Road Traffic Injuries among Elderly People; A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Saber Azami-Aghdash<sup>1</sup>, Mir Hossein Aghaei<sup>2</sup>, Homayoun Sadeghi-Bazarghani<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Road Traffic Injury Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran <sup>2</sup> Department of Nursing, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

\*Corresponding author: Homayoun Sadeghi-Bazarghani Address: Road Traffic Injury Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran e-mail: homayoun.sadeghi@gmail.com **Received:** March 31, 2018 **Revised:** June 25, 2018 **Accepted:** July 9, 2018

## ABSTRACT

**Objective:** To systematically review the epidemiological patterns and interventions for prevention of road traffic injuries (RTIs) among elderly.

**Methods:** Searching keywords including: accident, trauma, road injury, road traffic injuries, aging, old, elder, strategy, intervention, road traffic crash prevention and traffic accident in databases including, Google scholar, SID, IranMedex, PubMed and Scopus. English and non-Persian articles, articles presented in congresses, articles that considered elderly people to have age under than 60 years were excluded. The reporting quality of articles was assessed by two experts using Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) check list.

**Results:** RTIs compromised 23.6% of total injuries among elderly. The most frequent injuries were about car accidents (51.4%). Pedestrian injuries composed 48.1% of the RTIs. Head and neck (32.1%) were most injured body parts. There was a significant difference between elderly and non-elderly people in terms of RTIs associated mortality (Odd=2.57 [1.2-5.4 CI 95%]). Overall 25 main domains of intervention and 73 subordinate domains were extracted in five categories (human, road and environment, tools and cars, medical, legal and political issues).

**Conclusion:** According to the notable prevalence and fatality of RTIs, lack of sufficient studies and valid evidence of the present study can provide an appropriate evidence for better interventions for RTIs prevention among elderly.

Keywords: Road traffic injuries (RTIs); Elderly; Prevention; Interventions; Epidemiology.

Azami-Aghdash S, Aghaei MH, Sadeghi-Bazarghani H. Epidemiology of Road Traffic Injuries among Elderly People; A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Bull Emerg Trauma*. 2018;6(4):279-291. doi: 10.29252/beat-060403.

*Please cite this paper as:* 

### Introduction

evelopment of human societies has usually been accompanied by increase in the level of life expectancy and increase in number of elderly people [1]. Existing reports suggest that during past 50 years the number of aged people is tripled and it is estimated to be tripled again in upcoming 50 years [2]. In 1950 there were 200 million of elderly all around the world. According to UN investigations in 2000 over 600 million elderly people lived in the world which approximately compromises 10% of global population. This number will be doubled in 2025 [3]. The rate of ageing population growth is 1.9 % that is higher when compared to 1.2% of global population growth [4]. The rate of population aging in middle and lower income countries is more rapid than the developed. It is predicted that up to year 2025 over 80% of global elderly people will come by countries with low and middle income [5]. With the increase in the number of aged people the age related problems also increases. One main concern is elderly injuries [6,7]. First of all, aged population is rapidly increasing and second, having active life style which is more suitable for youngsters, has made aged people face with more serious injuries and finally the harmful consequences of injuries are more serious in elderly people than the young individuals. These consequences make them stay longer in hospitals, extend their hospitalization period and increases medical costs, mortalities and long-term and chronic conditions [8-11]. Almost 28% of all traumas that result in mortalities happen among people over 65 years old. Injuries are ranked as 5th reason of mortalities in this age group [12,13].

Road Traffic Injuries (RTIs) bear high rate of mortalities and injuries for all age groups [14-16]. One of the most important age groups in this regard are old people [17-21]. Many investigations have shown that RTIs are including among important happened injuries for elderly people [22-24]. Due to musculoskeletal problems and slow activities and reactions the elderly people cannot save themselves when a car accident happens. On the other hand, due to chronic diseases like osteoporosis the rate of bone fracture and hospitalization increases which itself augments the risk of mortalities among elderly people [19,25,26].

A comprehensive study on the epidemiological patterns of RTIs in elderly people seems to be a necessary issue. A systematic review of the homogenous studies can provide more accurate and trusted information. By acquiring a systematic awareness of epidemiological patterns of RTIs among elderly people we can prevent them and provide useful info for further planning. The present study aimed at reviewing epidemiological patterns of RTIs and related intervention to cut down these types of injuries among elderly people.

### **Materials and Methods**

The present systematic review was carried out in 2016 and followed orientations of systematic reviews presented by a book called "Systematic Review for Supporting Evidence Based Medicine (EBM)" [27].

### Search Strategies

Required data obtained by searching keywords including strategy, intervention, prevent, accident, trauma, road traffic injury, and road traffic accident, old, aging and elder crash in databases including PubMed, Scopus, Google Scholar, SID, and IranMedex. Publishing time span of articles was considered to be 2000 to 2016. In order to have more coverage, we manually searched several prestigious journals later to database search. After the omission of poor and unrelated articles we further searched reference list of each article for assurance. We also contacted the experts in the field of RTIs.

### Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Reporting at least one epidemiological aspect of RTIs in elderly people, separate epidemiological analysis of RTIs in elderly people (we also included those articles who pointed out all age groups but separately mentioned elderly people) were inclusion criteria. Exclusion criteria were non-English and non-Persian articles, articles presented in congresses, articles that considered elderly people to have age under than 60 years, articles that only studied aged drivers, studies carried out by simulators, studies that only reported death info of aged people and interventional studies.

### Quality Assessment

The reporting quality of articles was assessed by two experts using strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) check list. The checklist list was selected for its specificity in evaluation of observational studies, its translation and validity in Persian language [28] it included 22 items [29,30]. Here, the articles that did not passed at least half of items were excluded from the study

### Data Extraction

To extract data, first of all, the extracted form was manually designed in Microsoft Word that included: author's name, publication year, country, study design, time span of data collection, data resource, sample size, mean and SD of participants' age, rate and types of traffic injuries, types of road passengers, anatomical part of injured area, percent of injured people who transferred to hospitals by ambulance, the average time for hospital stay, fatality of traffic injury, severity of traffic injuries, and comparison of mortality rate between aged and other people ( in studies with different age groups this comparison was extractable). We first extracted 5 articles data in a pilot form to resolve existing problems in designed from.

#### Results

#### Data Analysis

To compare the fatality of RTIs among elderly and non-elderly people we utilized meta-analysis with fixed model. CMA: 2 (Comprehensive Meta-Analysis) software was carrying out to meta-analysis. To report obtained results we used Forest Plot charts in which the size of each square represented sample size and drawn lines on square sides represented confidence interval of about 95% for each study. To assess heterogeneity of obtained results we used Q statistics and I<sup>2</sup> index. I<sup>2</sup> index above 50% was considered to be the criterion of heterogeneity of articles. To calculate indexes such as the rate and fatality of RTIs among elderly people we did not used meta-analysis statistical methods due to high heterogeneity of study results. Required data to identify interventions to prevention of RTIs among elderly people were gathered by searching official reports and articles review. To analyze results of interventions we used Content-Analysis which is a method for identification, analysis and reporting existing patterns (themes) inside the text and has wide range of utilization in qualitative data analysis [31,32]. Coding process also done by two researchers in the present study.

Of all 892 extracted articles from databases and other sources, 413 articles were excluded due to duplication. We also excluded 262 cases of abstracts and titles. We also excluded 195 full texts and finally included 17 articles in quantitative section (epidemiology of RTIs among elderly people) and 5 articles in qualitative section (interventions to prevention of RTIs among elderly people) (Figure 1). Table 1 cheves characteristics of included studies

Table 1 shows characteristics of included studies in quantitative section.

Of 17 articles, 8 were occurred in countries with high rates of mortalities by RTIs according to World Health Organization (WHO) 2015 report [47] including: Iran, Egypt, China, Brazil, and Saudi Arabia. 9 others belonged to countries with low rate of mortalities by RTIs: The United States, Germany, Sweden, Australia, Singapore, Japan, and Canada. Of studied articles, Biazin and Rodrigues (2009) was a prospective study [40] while others were retrospective. Main sources from for data extraction were patients' medical records, trauma registries and reports by road traffic police.

All 17 studied articles in quantitative section reported RTIs data of 3206809 aged people above 60 years. Mean (SD) of the participants was  $71.3\pm6.2$ .



Fig. 1. PRISMA flow diagram of the study.

| ami-Agnaasn 5 et al.  | I   |  |  |  |   |   |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| Defer-<br>ence in<br>mortal-<br>ity rate<br>(elderly<br>vs. non<br>elderly) |   | (20.9 VS<br>11.3)P <<br>0.001  | I  | (4.4 VS<br>0.9)P≤<br>0.01  | ,   |   |
| ISS <sup>b</sup> OR<br>TRISS <sup>c</sup>                                   |   | (6-24)   | I  | 34) (1-  |   |   |
| Fatality<br>N (%)   | 3 (0.4)   | 40<br>(20.9)   | 1983 (6.4)   | 4.4  | 1   | 10.1  |
| Length<br>of stay<br>in hos-<br>pital                                       | 8.7   | 11.8 ±<br>13.1   | 1  |  |   | 3.9±5.7   |
| Ambu-<br>lance<br>Trans-<br>fer (%)   | 50.1  | 1  | ı  | 77.6   | 1   | 38.6  |
| Anatomic<br>region  |   | Head and neck<br>(18.1), Abdo-<br>men (10.8),<br>Chest (17.3),<br>Extremity<br>(28.1), Face<br>(4.8), External<br>(20.8) | 1  | Head and neck<br>(44.6), Chest<br>(19.4), Abdo-<br>men (8.7), Up-<br>per limb (8.7),<br>Lower limb<br>(19.1) | 1   | Head and neck<br>(24.9), Chest<br>(9.1), Abdo-<br>men& Pelvis<br>(8.6), Upper<br>limb (18.1),<br>Lower limb<br>(20.1), Face<br>(13.4), Spine<br>(5.8) |
| Type of road<br>user (%)  | 1   | 1  |  |  | Driver of car<br>(7.8), Passengers<br>of car (5.7), Pe-<br>destrian (34.8),<br>Bicyclist (8.6),<br>Motorcyclist<br>(39.3), other<br>(3.7) | Driver of Car<br>(4.6), Passengers<br>of car (22.1), Pe-<br>destrian (40.5),<br>Bicyclist (7.7),<br>Motorcyclist<br>(19.1)                            |
| Type of RTIs (%)  | Pedestrian (39.2), motor-<br>cycle (37.2), car accidents<br>(15.7), bicycle (7.8) | 1  | Car (36), Pedestrian (29),<br>motorcycle (15), Truck<br>(4), Bus (2), other (14)         | Car (38), Pedestrian<br>(21.1), Bicycle (27.2),<br>motorcycle (11.6), other<br>(1.3)                         | 1   | 1   |
| RTIs <sup>a</sup><br>(%)<br>OR<br>Rate<br>per<br>100000<br>people           | 21.2  |  | ı  |  | 46.2  | 8.7   |
| Mean±SD<br>age  |   | 1  | ı  | 1  | 1   | 70.9±<br>6.7  |
| sample<br>size<br>(>60<br>years)  | 720   | 177  | 51869  | 197  | 130   | 1306  |
| Data collection<br>source   | patient hospital<br>profile   | trauma registry  | national death regis-<br>tration system,<br>hospital admissions<br>and outpatient visits | patient hospital<br>profile  | patient hospital<br>profile   | pre-hospital emer-<br>gency system re-<br>ports, hospital and<br>police records   |
| Data<br>collec-<br>tion<br>period   | 6months<br>of 2005  | 2010   | 2005   | 2003-<br>2004  | 1999-<br>2003   | 2011-<br>2012   |
| Study<br>design   | retro-<br>spective  | retro-<br>spective   | retro-<br>spective   | retro-<br>spective   | retro-<br>spective  | retro-<br>spective  |
| coun-<br>try  | Singa-<br>pore  | USA  | Iran   | Japan  | Singa-<br>pore  | Iran  |
| References coun-Study Data<br>try design collec-<br>tion<br>period          | 1. Yeo Y Y<br>C <i>et al.</i> , [33]  | 2. McElroy<br>et al., [34]   | 3. Bhalla K<br>et al., [35]  | 4. Nagata T<br><i>et al.</i> , [36]  | 5. Lee K K<br>et al., [37]  | <ol> <li>Etehad H<br/>et al., [18]</li> </ol>   |

| ı  |   | 1   | 1  | (26.4<br>VS 9.4)-<br>p<0.001   |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| ISS= 23<br>(23–27)   | TI <sup>e:</sup><br>Serious=2.1<br>Moder-<br>ate=65.5<br>Slight=32.4  | 1   | 1  | 47(26.4) TRISS=<br>0.88  |  |
| 7(21.8)  | 12 (0.7)  | 1   | 1  | 47(26.4)   | 6738<br>(2.5)  |
| 14.6   |   |   | 1  | 7.9  |  |
|  | Head and neck -<br>(43), Chest<br>(5.7), Abdo-<br>men& Pelvis<br>(1), Upper limb<br>(14.7), Lower<br>limb (34.8),<br>Spine (12.1),<br>other (2.7) | Head and neck -<br>(20.7), Chest<br>(4.1), Abdo-<br>men& Pelvis<br>(9.9), Upper<br>limb (22.3),<br>Lower limb<br>(30.6), Spine<br>(6.6), other<br>(5.8) | Head and neck -<br>(52.3), Chest<br>(34.1), Abdo-<br>men& Pelvis<br>(44.1), Upper<br>limb (20.9),<br>Lower limb<br>(31.7), Spine<br>(16.6) | Head and neck -<br>(22.8), Chest<br>(23.4), Abdo-<br>men& Pelvis<br>(8.1), Extremal<br>(18.5), Extrem-<br>ities (21.5),<br>Face (5.3)            | •  |
|  | Pedestrians<br>(79.2), Pas-<br>sengers (5.1),<br>Cyclists (14.8),<br>Other (1)  |   | Driver of Car<br>(26), Passengers<br>of car (14),<br>Pedestrian (57),<br>Bicyclist (2.7),<br>Motorcyclist<br>(0.7)                         | Driver of Car<br>(45.1), Pas-<br>sengers of car<br>(20.7), Pedes-<br>trian (29.2), Bi-<br>cyclist (3.3), Mo-<br>torcyclist (0.5),<br>other (0.5) |  |
|  | Car (88.5), motorcycle<br>(3.5), Bicycle (6.8), other<br>(1.1)  | 1   | 1  | 1  | Car ( $65.2$ ), Pedestrian $(5.7)$ , Bicycle $(5)$ , motor-cycle $(1.9)$ , other $(23.2)$  |
| 25.6   | 21.8<br>per 100<br>000  | 25.6  | т.<br>Т  | 1  | 8.4  |
| 77±6   | median<br>age=71  | 67.7±4.4  | 68.7 ±5.5  | 75.8   | - 35   |
| 125  | 1706  | 121   | 258  | 178  | 3134935  |
| Trauma database,<br>the computerized<br>patient records and<br>the patient charts. | patient hospital<br>profile   | Telephone survey  | patient hospital<br>profile  | Victorian State<br>Trauma Outcome<br>Registry and Moni-<br>toring Group (VS-<br>TORM)  | Centers for Disease<br>Control and<br>Prevention's<br>web-based injury<br>statistics query and<br>reporting system<br>online database. |
| 2000-<br>2003  | 2004–<br>2010   | 2004  | 6 months   | 2001-<br>2003  | 2006-2006  |
| retro-<br>spective   | spective  | prospec-<br>tive  | spective   | retro-<br>spective   | retro-<br>spective   |
| Canada retro-<br>specti  | China   | Brazil  | Egypt  | Aus-<br>tralia   | USA  |
| 7. Gowing<br>R <i>et al.</i> , [38]  | 8. Shuai AN<br>et al., [39]   | 9. Biazin<br>DT, Rodri-<br>gues RAP.<br>[40]  | 10. S Abou-<br>Raya and L<br>Abd ElMe-<br>guid[17]   | 11. Yee WY,<br>et al., [41]  | 12. Hu G, <i>et</i><br><i>al.</i> , [42]   |

| (14.7<br>VS 4.6)-<br>p<0.001   | p<0.05  | 1   | 1   |  |   |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| 22(14.7) AIS <sup>4</sup> : mi-<br>nor=17.4<br>Moder-<br>ate=67.7<br>Seri-<br>ous=13.4<br>Severe=1.3 | - ISS= 7.3<br>(1-75)  | 0   | 48 (1.7) -  | 1 (1.1) TI:<br>Serious=2.1<br>Moder-<br>ate=58<br>Slight=6 |   |
| 20.8 ±<br>7.2  |   | ı   | ī   | 1  | na Index  |
| ı  | neck<br>t (9),<br>&<br>b<br>wer   | I   | ,   |  | e; °TI= Traun   |
|  | Head and neck<br>(31), Chest (9),<br>Abdomen&<br>Pelvis (12.3),<br>Upper limb<br>(26.3), Lower<br>limb (57.1) |   |   |  | iated Injury Score  |
|  | 2), -<br>le   | ı   |   |  | AIS= Abbrevi  |
| Car (74.4), other (25.6)   | Car (57), Pedestrian (22),<br>Bicycle (19), motorcycle<br>(1.3), other (1.9)                                  | Car (36.6), Pedestrian<br>(5.6), Bicycle (49.2),<br>other (8.4)     | motorcycle (39.9), Car<br>(38.3), Pedestrian (21.8) |  | <sup>a</sup> RTIs: Road Traffic Injuries; <sup>b</sup> ISS=Injury Severity Score, <sup>c</sup> TRISS= Trauma Score and Injury Severity Score; <sup>d</sup> AIS= Abbreviated Injury Score; <sup>c</sup> TI= Trauma Index |
| ı  |   | 13.1  | 25.3  | 38.7   | e and Inju  |
| 66.9±6.8   | 74.4  | ı   | 69.5±7.9  |  | <b>Trauma Sco</b>   |
| 149  | 1843  | 1753  | 11120   | 222  | TRISS= 7  |
| patient hospital<br>profile  | Traffic accident<br>reports   | registered<br>injury events from a<br>well-defined popula-<br>tion. | patient hospital<br>profile                         | patient hospital<br>profile                                | ry Severity Score; <sup>c</sup> T   |
| 2002-<br>2010  | 1985-<br>1998   | 2006  | 2006-<br>2009                                       | 2013   | ISS=Inju  |
| retro-<br>spective   | retro-<br>spective  | retro-<br>spective  | retro-<br>spective                                  | retro-<br>spective   | njuries; <sup>b</sup>   |
| Saudi<br>Arabia  | Ger-<br>many  | Swe-<br>den   | Iran  | Iran   | Traffic l   |
| 13. Malik A, Saudi retro-<br><i>et al.</i> , [43] Arabia spectiv                                     | 14. Richter<br>M, <i>et al.</i> ,<br>[23]   | 15. Saveman Swe-<br>BI et al., [44] den                             | 16. Safizadeh Iran<br>H, et al., [45]               | 17. Norian<br>R, <i>et al.</i> , [46]                      | <sup>a</sup> RTIs: Road   |

In 10 articles the researchers investigated all types of RTIs while their results showed 23.6 % of total injuries related to the elderly people. Data analysis of RTIs among elderly people showed that most RTIs occurred to the elderly people went back to car crash (51.4%). Results obtained from comparing the patterns of RTIs of countries with high rate of mortalities by RTIs with countries with low rate showed that car, pedestrians and motorcycle accidents were more frequent in countries with high rate of mortalities. While bicycle accidents were more common in countries with lower rate of mortalities (Figure 2).

Results of data analysis by road user type showed that among elderly people the most frequent RTIs went back to pedestrians (48.1%). Results of comparing the patterns of RTIs type between countries with lower and higher rates of mortalities showed that RTIs among pedestrians, drivers and travelers, and bike riders was higher in countries that had higher rates of mortalities from RTIs. In turn, it was true about car drivers and motorbike riders in countries with lower rate of mortalities (Figure 3).

Results analysis showed that in elderly RTIs the most damaged parts of body are respectively head and neck (32.1%) lower limbs (29.4%) and upper limbs (19.7%) (Figure 4). In this figure the sum of distributed averages is not necessarily 100 as some parts of the body are not included in the classification and also in some accidents two or more parts are simultaneously injured.

Only 3 articles pointed out the number of transferred patients by ambulance to hospitals. Yeo YYC et al., [33] in Singapore reported 50.1%, Nagata T et al., [36] in Japan with 77.6% and Etehad H et al., [18] in Iran reported the number to be 38.6%. Mean (SD) of hospitalization time of elderly people was calculated to be 10.6±8.4. To evaluate RTIs severity among elderly people different tools were used. ISS tool was used in 3 articles reporting a range of 6 in Nagata T et al., [36] study in Japan to 23 in Gowing R et al., [38] study in Canada. In Yee WY et al., [41] study in Australia the utilized tool was TRISS upon which the severity was reported to be 0.88. in another two studies by Shuai AN et al., [39] in China and Norian R et al., [46] in Iran they used TI tool that reported severe injuries to be 2.1%, average injuries being 61.7% and mild injuries being 17.4%.

Fatality rate among elderly people was quite different. In a way that in Yeo YYC *et al.*, [33] study in Singapore it was 0.4%, and 26.4% in Yee WY *et al.*, [41] in Australia. In total the average rate of fatality of RTIs was calculated



Fig. 2. Type of Road Traffic Injuries among elderly people (≥60 years)



Fig. 3. Road Traffic Injuries among elderly people (≥60 years) based on type of road user



Fig. 4. Road Traffic Injuries among elderly people (≥60 years) based on anatomic region

to be 9.2%. The fatality issue was investigated in 5 articles. All suggested significant high fatality of RTIs among elderly people when compared with non-elderly individuals. Meta-analysis of 4 articles that mentioned the fatality of RTIs among elderly revealed significant difference between elderly and non-elderly (Figure 5) (Odd=2.57 [1.2-5.4 CI 95%]. Heterogeneity test [Q=0.542 df=3 *p*-value= 0.91 I<sup>2</sup>= 0.000]). Results of literature review on interventions to

prevent RTIs among elderly are depicted in Table 2. (For more clearance, intervention/solutions are exactly mentioned according to the references). In total 25 main domains of intervention and 73 subordinate domains were extracted. By utilizing Content-Analysis and according to Figure 6, five main domains included interventions about: humans (elderly), roads and environment, vehicles and equipment, medical cares, and law and policies.

| Study name                       |               | Statist        | ics for ea     | ach study | _       |      | Odds  | atio and | 95% CI |     |
|----------------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|---------|------|-------|----------|--------|-----|
|                                  | Odds<br>ratio | Lower<br>limit | Upper<br>limit | Z-Value   | p-Value |      |       |          |        |     |
| McElroy et al. USA: 2013         | 1.868         | 0.547          | 6.378          | 0.997     | 0.319   |      |       | _∔ਛ      | —      |     |
| Nagata T et al. Japan:2013       | 4.906         | 0.324          | 74.217         | 1.148     | 0.251   |      | -   · |          | -      | —   |
| Yee WY, et al.Australia:2006     | 2.858         | 0.981          | 8.322          | 1.925     | 0.054   |      |       |          | ┣──│   |     |
| Malik A, et al.Saudi Arabia:2012 | 3.228         | 0.215          | 48.449         | 0.848     | 0.396   |      | -     |          | •      | -   |
|                                  | 2.570         | 1.222          | 5.404          | 2.489     | 0.013   |      |       |          |        |     |
|                                  |               |                |                |           |         | 0.01 | 0.1   | 1        | 10     | 100 |

Fig. 5. Road Traffic Injuries fatality rate among elderly people (≥60 years) VS Non-elderly people (<60 years)

| Table 2. Strategies/interventions to road traffic in | njuries | prevention in elderly people. |
|--|---------|-------------------------------|
|--|---------|-------------------------------|

| Authors/ institute:<br>vear  | Aim of document  | Main results (risk reduction intervention strategies)  |
|--|--|--|
| year<br>British Columbia<br>Injury Research<br>and Prevention<br>Unit:2007[48] | evaluate the<br>efficacy of various<br>intervention strategies<br>for reducing the risk and<br>rate of motor vehicle<br>crashes<br>(MVC) involving<br>elderly drivers. | driver-related:         1. utilization of the Driving Decisions Workbook         2. use of educational programs or home-study         3. courses         4. use of driver re-training programs         vehicle-related:         1. Advanced Traveler Information Systems (ATIS)         2. head-up displays (HUD)         3. pedestrian detection devices         4. Advanced Transport Telematics (ATT)         5. warning systems         6. Surrogate In-vehicle Information Systems (SIVIS). <i>road infrastructure-related:</i> 1. prompts or signage promoting seat belt use         2. symbol signing design for elderly drivers         3. left turn signalization         4. Traffic signal improvements that facilitate driving for the elderly. <i>clinical-related:</i> 1. cataract surgery for vision enhancement <i>legal- and policy-related:</i> 1. catafornia's Mature Driver Improvement (MDI) Program         2. the National License Assessment Program in Australia         3. the use of practical on-road driving tests         4. mandatory vision or knowledge tests         5. shorter license renewal to identify higher-risk drivers         7. restricted licensing for medically impaired elderly drivers         8. in-person license renewal to identify higher-risk drivers         9. restrict |
|  |  |  |

| Crandall M. et al.,<br>[49]Assess the scientific<br>evidence regarding<br>MVC-related injury<br>prevention strategies<br>for elderly drivers and<br>pedestrians.car engineering advancements<br>environmental or behavioral interventions<br>interventionsHanowski RJ. et al.,<br>[50]Introduce a taxonomy of<br>safety interventions as<br>a tool for investigating<br>issuesDriver Licensing.<br>Driver Training/Counseling<br>Crashworthiness/Occupant Protection<br>Behavioral Medical Care<br>Behavioral Medicine<br>Fitness-For-Duty (FFD)<br>Environmental Issues<br>Cooperative Systems<br>Vehicle Design/Crash Avoidance<br>1.VisionBoot WR. et al., [51]Countermeasures to<br>Improve Road-UserCountermeasures<br>scientialScreening:<br>scientialBoot WR. et al., [51]Countermeasures to<br>Improve Road-UserCountermeasures<br>scientialScreening:<br>scientialNote crash avoidance<br>(Source Countermeasures to<br>(Source Countermeasures to)<br>(Source Countermeasures to<br>(Source Countermeasures to)<br>(Source Countermeasures to<br>(Source Countermeasures to)<br>(Source Counte |
|---|
| MVC-related injury<br>prevention strategies<br>for elderly drivers and<br>pedestrians. <i>risk screening strategies</i><br>safety interventions as<br>a tool for investigating<br>issues <i>Driver Licensing.</i><br>Driver Training/Counseling<br>Crashworthiness/Occupant Protection[50]safety interventions as<br>a tool for investigating<br>issuesDriver Crash Medical Care<br>Behavioral Medicine<br>Firenss-For-Duty (FFD)<br>Environmental Issues<br>Cooperative Systems<br>Vehicle Design/Crash Avoidance<br>1.Visibility-related interventions<br>2.conspicuity-related interventions<br>3.Boot WR. et al., [51]Countermeasures toscreening:  |
| prevention strategies<br>for elderly drivers and<br>pedestrians.Driver Licensing.Hanowski RJ. et al.,<br>[50]Introduce a taxonomy of<br>safety interventions as<br>a tool for investigating<br>issuesDriver Licensing.<br>Driver Training/Counseling<br>Crashworthiness/Occupant Protection[50]safety interventions as<br>a tool for investigating<br>issuesDriver Crash Medical Care<br>Behavioral MedicineBehavioral Medicine<br>driver populationPost-Crash Medical Care<br>Behavioral MedicineFitness-For-Duty (FFD)<br>Environmental Issues<br>Vehicle Design/Crash Avoidance1.visibility-related interventions<br>3.2.conspicuity-related interventions<br>3.3.collision warning systems<br>4.4.other crash avoidance countermeasures<br>5.5.general in-vehicle display/control issues  |
| for elderly drivers and<br>pedestrians.Driver Licensing.Hanowski RJ. et al.,<br>[50]Introduce a taxonomy of<br>safety interventions as<br>a tool for investigating<br>issuesDriver Training/Counseling<br>Crashworthiness/Occupant Protection<br>Post-Crash Medical CareBehavioral Medicine<br>friness-For-Duty (FFD)<br>Environmental Issues<br>Cooperative Systems<br>Vehicle Design/Crash Avoidance<br>1.Nisibility-related interventions<br>3.Conspicuity-related interventions<br>3.Countermeasures toScreening:   |
| Janowski RJ. et al.,<br>[50]Introduce a taxonomy of<br>safety interventions as<br>a tool for investigating<br>issuesDriver Licensing,<br>Driver Training/Counseling<br>Crashworthiness/Occupant Protection[50]safety interventions as<br>a tool for investigating<br>issuesDriver Training/Counseling<br>Crashworthiness/Occupant Protection[50]safety interventions as<br>a tool for investigating<br>issuesDriver Training/Counseling<br>Crashworthiness/Occupant Protection[50]safety interventions<br>specific to the elderly<br>driver populationBehavioral Medical Care<br>Behavioral Medicine<br>Fitness-For-Duty (FFD)<br>Environmental Issues<br>Cooperative Systems<br>Vehicle Design/Crash Avoidance<br>1.[1.visibility-related interventions<br>3.collision warning systems<br>4.[4.other crash avoidance countermeasures<br>5.general in-vehicle display/control issues[50]Sorteming:Screening:  |
| Hanowski RJ. et al.,Introduce a taxonomy of<br>safety interventions as<br>a tool for investigating<br>issuesDriver Licensing.<br>Driver Training/Counseling<br>Crashworthiness/Occupant Protection[50]a tool for investigating<br>issuesDriver Training/Counseling<br>Crashworthiness/Occupant Protectionpost-Crash Medical Care<br>specific to the elderly<br>driver populationBehavioral Medicine<br>Environmental Issues<br>Cooperative Systems<br>Vehicle Design/Crash Avoidance1.visibility-related interventions<br>3.2.conspicuity-related interventions<br>3.3.collision warning systems<br>4.4.other crash avoidance countermeasures<br>5.5.general in-vehicle display/control issues  |
| [50]safety interventions as<br>a tool for investigating<br>issuesDriver Training/Counseling<br>Crashworthiness/Occupant Protectionissuesa tool for investigating<br>issuesCrashworthiness/Occupant Protectionspecific to the elderly<br>driver populationBehavioral Medical Care<br>Behavioral Medicine <i>Environmental Issues</i><br>Cooperative SystemsCooperative SystemsVehicle Design/Crash Avoidance<br>1.1.visibility-related interventions<br>3.conspicuity-related interventions<br>3.Soot WR. et al., [51]Countermeasures toscreening:   |
| a tool for investigating<br>issuesCrashworthiness/Occupant ProtectionissuesPost-Crash Medical Carespecific to the elderly<br>driver populationBehavioral MedicineEnvironmental Issues<br>Cooperative SystemsVehicle Design/Crash Avoidance1.visibility-related interventions2.conspicuity-related interventions3.collision warning systems4.other crash avoidance countermeasures5.general in-vehicle display/control issuesBoot WR. et al., [51]Countermeasures to   |
| issuesPost-Crash Medical Carespecific to the elderly<br>driver populationBehavioral MedicineFitness-For-Duty (FFD)Environmental IssuesCooperative SystemsVehicle Design/Crash Avoidance1.visibility-related interventions2.conspicuity-related interventions3.collision warning systems4.other crash avoidance countermeasures5.general in-vehicle display/control issues   |
| specific to the elderly<br>driver population       Behavioral Medicine<br>Fitness-For-Duty (FFD)<br>Environmental Issues<br>Cooperative Systems<br>Vehicle Design/Crash Avoidance         1.       visibility-related interventions         2.       conspicuity-related interventions         3.       collision warning systems         4.       other crash avoidance countermeasures         5.       general in-vehicle display/control issues   |
| driver population       Fitness-For-Duty (FFD)         Environmental Issues       Cooperative Systems         Vehicle Design/Crash Avoidance       1.         1.       visibility-related interventions         2.       conspicuity-related interventions         3.       collision warning systems         4.       other crash avoidance countermeasures         5.       general in-vehicle display/control issues   |
| Environmental Issues         Cooperative Systems         Vehicle Design/Crash Avoidance         1.       visibility-related interventions         2.       conspicuity-related interventions         3.       collision warning systems         4.       other crash avoidance countermeasures         5.       general in-vehicle display/control issues         Boot WR. et al., [51]       Countermeasures to  |
| Wehicle Design/Crash Avoidance         1.       visibility-related interventions         2.       conspicuity-related interventions         3.       collision warning systems         4.       other crash avoidance countermeasures         5.       general in-vehicle display/control issues  |
| 1.       visibility-related interventions         2.       conspicuity-related interventions         3.       collision warning systems         4.       other crash avoidance countermeasures         5.       general in-vehicle display/control issues   |
| 2.       conspicuity-related interventions         3.       collision warning systems         4.       other crash avoidance countermeasures         5.       general in-vehicle display/control issues   |
| 3.       collision warning systems         4.       other crash avoidance countermeasures         5.       general in-vehicle display/control issues         Boot WR. et al., [51]         Countermeasures to         screening:  |
| 4. other crash avoidance countermeasures         5. general in-vehicle display/control issues         Boot WR. et al., [51] Countermeasures to         screening:   |
| 5.       general in-vehicle display/control issues         Boot WR. et al., [51]       Countermeasures to         screening:  |
| Boot WR. et al., [51] Countermeasures to screening:   |
|   |
|   |
| Safety 2. Hearing and vibration detection   |
| 3. Attention  |
| 4. Speed of Processing and Responding   |
| 5. Disease Processes  |
| environmental or behavioral interventions   |
| 1. Offset Turn Lanes  |
| <ol> <li>Improving Nighttime Visibility</li> <li>Advanced Street Name Signs</li> </ol>  |
| 4. Increased Text Size  |
| 5. Modifying Perception-Action Time Estimates of Elderly Drivers  |
| Aging Road-User Training  |
| 1. Elderly Driver Education   |
| 2. Education plus On-Road Training  |
| 3. Perceptual Training  |
| 4.Eye Scanning Training5.Physical Training  |
| Pelderlys E. <i>et al.</i> , countermeasures for <u>Infrastructural interventions</u>   |
| [52] road traffic of the 1. Highway design parameters   |
| elderly in Europe 2. Design and operational aspects of rural and urban road networks  |
| 3. Traffic control at intersections   |
| 4. Road markings  |
| 5. Lighting   |
| 6. Route guidance and Signs   |
| Education & Training  |
| <ol> <li>Retraining Programs</li> <li>Education and training dedicated to urban road network</li> </ol>   |
| 3. Promoting specialized clothing   |
| 4. Strategies for defensive driving   |
| 5. Self-evaluating and improving their skills   |
| 6. Rehabilitation   |
| Licensing & Enforcement   |
| 1. Licensing Renewal, Screening and Assessment  |
| 2. Enforcement  |
| <u>Vehicle &amp; ITS technologies</u>   |
| <ol> <li>Advanced Driver Assistance Systems (ADAS) for Intersection</li> <li>ADAS for headway control</li> </ol>  |
| <ol> <li>ADAS for headway control</li> <li>ADAS for lateral control</li> </ol>  |
| 4. ADAS for curve control   |
| 5. ADAS for navigation  |
| 6. ADAS for parking   |
| 7. ADAS for night driving   |
| 8. ADAS for driver monitoring   |
| 9. Autonomous Vehicle   |



Fig. 6. Content-Analysis of strategies/ interventions to road traffic injuries prevention in elderly people

#### Discussion

Mean age of the included elderly was 71.3±6.2. RTIs comprised 23.6% of total injuries among elderly while most were car accidents (51.4%). Compared to the countries with low elderly mortalities from RTIs that mostly happened by bike accidents, most injuries in countries with high mortalities were by car crash, passengers and motorbikes. Most RTIs among elderly went back to pedestrians (48.1%) and the most injured parts were respectively head and neck (32.1 %) lower limbs (29.4%) and upper limbs (19.7%). Mean SD for hospitalization among elderly people were  $10.6\pm8.4$  days. And in total, the average RTIs fatality estimated to be 9.2%. A significant difference was observed in fatality of RTIs between elderly and non-elderly people [Odd=2.57 1.2-5.4 CI 95%]. Totally, 25 main domains of intervention and 73 interventions as subordinate domains were identified. Through content analysis the extracted interventions were classified under 5 main domains including: human, road / environment, vehicles and equipment, medical care and law/policy interventions.

As the present research revealed, RTIs comprised 23.6% of total injuries among elderly people. Most studies showed these injuries to be the main reason of mortalities and morbidities when compared to the other types [53-58]. According to WHO, RTIs bears the burden of about 24% of accident mortalities by itself [59]. This would be much higher in elderly

due to critical physiological conditions and poor quality of roads. Like others, findings of the present study showed that RTIs compromises a great balk of injuries among elderly people. However, it seems that less attention has been paid to these types of injuries in elderly when compared to the other injuries. It needs more special focus and allocation of resources to prevent the high incidence of these types of injuries.

The study also revealed about half of RTIs among elderly people was for car crash. Investigations on non-elderly people reported that motorbike riders were more prone to RTIs [60-65]. This fact goes back to the existing limitations ahead of elderly in using other transportation tools like motorcycles. In countries with lower rates of traffic mortalities like Japan, Germany, Sweden, and the United States, bike accidents are recurrent. The main reason behind is the established culture in utilizing transportation tools in which most citizens -also elderly peopleuse bicycles more than other countries despite that these countries are clustered inside group of high income countries [66]. Therefore, these injuries are more frequent as elderly people are more exposed to RTIs caused by bicycle riding. So, it is suggested to study the effect of riding bicycles by elderly people on occurrence rate of RTIs in future investigations.

Likewise, about a half of RTIs in elderly people happens among pedestrians. The reports by Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) suggested that in most European

countries about 45% of pedestrians' mortalities are for elderly people while they compromise only 15% of population [67]. Gorgin et al., [68] reported that in Iran's Kurdistan province, more than 55% of traffic mortalities occurs to elderly people. Hassani *et al.*, [69] in Tehran also concluded that mortality rate caused by RTIs among elderly passengers are higher than the other age group. It seems that inactivity, poor evesight, miscalculation of vehicles speed and lack of pedestrian bridges for elderly pedestrians are the main reasons behind the issue. So, seemingly it is inevitable to design and renovate city sidewalks to provide elderly people with safety in their walking. There was a significant difference in terms of fatality of RTIs between elderly and nonelderly people. Several studies, all around the world, have confirmed the subject [23,70-73]. The main reason is the physical conditions of elderly people as their physical power is atrophied and mitigated and makes them more vulnerable when they face RTIs. Therefore, interventions and approaches of present study can be useful for authorities and policy makers in preventing RTIs among elderly people.

As mentioned, available interventions found in sources were divided into 5 main domains of: human (elderly people), road/environment, cars and accessories medical care and laws/policies. Most human interventions focused on training. Findings of the most studies have shown that public trainings cannot solely decline mortality rate caused by crashes [74,75]. This made the role of training and education to be blurred. Along with proper administration of rules and regulations, if public training would result in behavioral change, it can leave effective consequences [76]. Most interventions in terms of laws and policies are restricted to elderly driving license renewal. Results of many studies have confirmed the positive effect of driving license renewal on decrease of RTIs among elderly people [77,78]. Most medical interventions and approaches also concentrated on physical health assessment specially eyesight among elderly when issuing driving license. There is insufficient literature in terms of the effect of clinical assessments on prevention of RTIs among elderly people [79-81]. The general focal point when talking about interventions to decrease RTIs among elderly people is that most of them are driver oriented and less focus has been paid to elderly pedestrians.

Few evidence and valid studies are at hand in terms of RTIs among aged people. This issue can be a limitation restricting the generalization of results of the present study. Moreover, in some fields including occurrence rate of RTIs when compared to other types of injuries, fatality rate and dispersion of results limits doing a meta-analysis on the subject matter. Suggesting by the results of the present study, RTIs are highly recurrent and common type of injuries among elderly people. They acquire high prevalence and bring high rate of fatality among this age group, yet there is no clear cut and adequate evidence at hand in this regard while less attention has been paid to its severity and importance. The provided data by this investigation can be utilized in planning and designing interventions to prevent RTIs among elderly especially elderly pedestrians. Further future studies are required about the subject matter as we face lack of valid and clear investigations.

#### Conflicts of Interest: None declared.

#### References

- 1. Peden M, Scurfield R, Sleet D, Mohan D, Hyder AA, Jarawan E, et al. World report on road traffic injury prevention. World Health Organization Geneva; 2004.
- Mikawa K, Akamatsu H, Nishina K, Shiga M, Maekawa N, Obara H, et al. The effects of cimetidine, ranitidine, and famotidine on human neutrophil functions. *Anesth Analg.* 1999;89(1):218-24.
- **3.** Fadaye Vatan R, Davatgaran K, Hashemi Nazari SS, Mirtorabi SD. Road Accident Mortality Rate of the Iranian Elderly from 2006 to 2008. *Iranian Journal of Ageing*. 2012;7(1):49-56.
- 4. Zangeneh M, Mozdarani H, Mahmoudzadeh A, Aghamiri MR. Effects of famotidine and vitamin C on low dose radiation-induced micronuclei in mice bone marrow cells. Journal of Paramedical Sciences. 2015;5(4).

- 5. Martin LG, Kinsella K. Research on the demography of aging in developing countries. *Demography* of aging. 1994:356-403.
- 6. Cartagena LJ, Kang A, Munnangi S, Jordan A, Nweze IC, Sasthakonar V, et al. Risk factors associated with in-hospital mortality in elderly patients admitted to a regional trauma center after sustaining a fall. *Aging Clin Exp Res.* 2017;**29**(3):427-33.
- 7. da Silva HC, Pessoa Rde L, de Menezes RM. Trauma in elderly people: access to the health system through pre-hospital care. *Rev Lat Am Enfermagem*. 2016;**24**:e2690.
- Cirera E, Perez K, Santamarina-Rubio E, Novoa AM, Olabarria M. Incidence trends of injury among the elderly in Spain, 2000-2010. *Inj Prev.* 2014;20(6):401-7.
- 9. Evans D, Pester J, Vera L, Jeanmonod D, Jeanmonod R. Elderly fall patients triaged to the trauma bay: age, injury

patterns, and mortality risk. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2015;**33**(11):1635-8.

- 10. Hamden K, Agresti D, Jeanmonod R, Woods D, Reiter M, Jeanmonod D. Characteristics of elderly fall patients with baseline mental status: high-risk features for intracranial injury. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2014;32(8):890-4.
- **11.** Wang JH, Joslin J, Jenkins R, Sharpe CC, Jayawardene S, Shah S. Outcomes of elderly patients with acute kidney injury on a renal high dependency unit. *Int J Clin Pract.* 2015;**69**(10):1209-10.
- **12.** Siram SM, Sonaike V, Bolorunduro OB, Greene WR, Gerald SZ, Chang DC, et al. Does the pattern of injury in elderly pedestrian trauma mirror that of the younger pedestrian? *J Surg Res.* 2011;**167**(1):14-8.
- **13.** Stevenson J. When the trauma patient is elderly. *J Perianesth Nurs*. 2004;**19**(6):392-400.
- 14. Khorasani-Zavareh D, Bigdeli M,

Farrokh-Eslamlou H, Abdoos H, Mohammadi R. Traffic injury deaths in reproductive age group in Iran. *Journal of clinical research and governance*. 2012;**1**(1):25-.

- 15. Safiri S, Sadeghi-Bazargani H, Amiri S, Khanjani N, Safarpour H, Karamzad N, et al. Association between Adult Attention Deficit-Hyperactivity Disorder and motorcycle traffic injuries in Kerman, Iran: a case-control study. Journal of Clinical Research & Governance. 2013;2(1):17-21.
- 16. Sadeghi-Bazargani H, Ayubi E, Azami-Aghdash S, Abedi L, Zemestani A, Amanati L, et al. Epidemiological Patterns of Road Traffic Crashes During the Last Two Decades in Iran: A Review of the Literature from 1996 to 2014. Arch Trauma Res. 2016;5(3):e32985.
- 17. Abou Raya S, ElMeguid LA. Road traffic accidents and the elderly. *Geriatrics & gerontology international*. 2009;9(3):290-7.
- Etehad H, Yousefzadeh-Chabok S, Davoudi-Kiakalaye A, Moghadam Dehnadi A, Hemati H, Mohtasham-Amiri Z. Impact of road traffic accidents on the elderly. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr*. 2015;61(3):489-93.
- **19.** Kaimila B, Yamashina H, Arai A, Tamashiro H. Road traffic crashes and fatalities in Japan 2000-2010 with special reference to the elderly road user. *Traffic Inj Prev.* 2013;**14**(8):777-81.
- **20.** Sadeghi-Bazargani H, Samadirad B, Moslemi F. A decade of road traffic fatalities among the elderly in north-West Iran. *BMC public health*. 2018;**18**(1):111.
- **21.** Orriols L, Wilchesky M, Lagarde E, Suissa S. Prescription of antidepressants and the risk of road traffic crash in the elderly: a case-crossover study. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 2013;**76**(5):810-5.
- 22. Crowe M, McCormack P. Demographic profile of the elderly population in Dublin accident and emergency hospital catchment areas. *Ir Med J.* 2004;97(7):221; author reply.
- Richter M, Pape HC, Otte D, Krettek C. The current status of road user injuries among the elderly in Germany: a medical and technical accident analysis. J Trauma. 2005;58(3):591-5.
- 24. Santos AM, Rodrigues RA, Diniz MA. Trauma in the elderly caused by traffic accident: integrative review. *Rev Esc Enferm USP*. 2015;**49**(1):162-72.
- **25.** Gale RP, Gale CP, Roper TA, Mulley GP. Depiction of elderly and disabled people on road traffic

signs: international comparison. BMJ (Clinical research ed). 2003;**327**(7429):1456-7

- 26. Vermeeren A, Sun H, Vuurman EF, Jongen S, Van Leeuwen CJ, Van Oers AC, et al. On-the-Road Driving Performance the Morning after Bedtime Use of Suvorexant 20 and 40 mg: A Study in Non-Elderly Healthy Volunteers. *Sleep.* 2015;38(11):1803-13.
- 27. Khan K, Kunz R, Kleijnen J, Antes G. Systematic reviews to support evidence-based medicine: Crc Press; 2011.
- **28.** Poorolajal J, Tajik P, Yazdizadeh B, Sehat M, Salehi A, Rezaei M, et al. Quality assessment of the reporting of cohort studies before STROBE statement. *Iranian journal of epidemiology*. 2009;**5**(1):17-26.
- 29. Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP, et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *PLoS medicine*. 2007;4(10):e296.
- Elm Ev, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *BMJ* (Clinical research ed). 2007;335(7624):806-8
- **31.** Grbich C. Qualitative data analysis: An introduction: Sage; 2012.
- **32.** Pope C, Ziebland S, Mays N. Qualitative research in health care. Analysing qualitative data. *BMJ*. 2000;**320**(7227):114-6.
- **33.** Yeo YY, Lee SK, Lim CY, Quek LS, Ooi SB. A review of elderly injuries seen in a Singapore emergency department. *Singapore Med J.* 2009;**50**(3):278-83.
- 34. McElroy LM, Juern JJ, Bertleson A, Xiang Q, Szabo A, Weigelt J. A single urban center experience with adult pedestrians struck by motor vehicles. *Wmj.* 2013;112(3):117-22; quiz 23.
- **35.** Bhalla K, Naghavi M, Shahraz S, Bartels D, Murray CJ. Building national estimates of the burden of road traffic injuries in developing countries from all available data sources. *Iran Inj Prev.* 2009;**15**(3):150-6.
- **36.** Nagata T, Uno H, Perry MJ. Clinical consequences of road traffic injuries among the elderly in Japan. *BMC Public Health.* 2010;**10**:375.
- **37.** Lee KK, Seow WT, Ng I. Demographical profiles of adult severe traumatic brain injury patients:

implications for healthcare planning. *Singapore Med J.* 2006;**47**(1):31-6.

- **38.** Gowing R, Jain MK. Injury patterns and outcomes associated with elderly trauma victims in Kingston, Ontario. *Can J Surg.* 2007;**50**(6):437-44.
- **39.** An S, Zhang JJ, Zhang PX, Yin XF, Kou YH, Wang YH, et al. Prehospital road traffic injuries among the elderly in Beijing, China: data from the Beijing Emergency Medical Center, 2004-2010. *Chin Med J (Engl)*. 2013;**126**(15):2859-65.
- 40. Biazin DT, Rodrigues RAP. Profile of elderly patients who suffered trauma im Londrina-Paraná. *Revista* da Escola de Enfermagem da USP. 2009;43(3):602-8.
- **41.** Yee W, Cameron PA, Bailey M. Road traffic injuries in the elderly. *Emergency Medicine Journal*. 2006;**23**(1):42-6.
- **42.** Hu G, Baker SP. Recent increases in fatal and non-fatal injury among people aged 65 years and over in the USA. *Inj Prev.* 2010;**16**(1):26-30.
- **43.** Malik A, Dal N, Talpur K. Road traffic injuries and their outcome in the elderly patients 60 years and above. Does age make a difference. *J Trauma Treat. [internet].* 2012;**1**(4):2167-1222.
- 44. Saveman BI, Björnstig U. Unintentional injuries among older adults in northern Sweden-a one-year population-based study. Scandinavian journal of caring sciences. 2011;25(1):185-93.
- **45.** Safizadeh H, Habibi H, Zahmatkesh R, Samery M. The study of the elderly accidents in Kerman province on 2006-9. *Iranian Journal of Ageing*. 2013;**8**(1):49-55.
- **46.** Afshari M, Gholam-Aliei B, Norian R, Kangavari M. Related Factors with Injuries Caused by Accidents in the Elderly Referred to Hospital of Tuyserkan city in 2013. *Sabzevar University of Medical Sciences Journal*. 2015;**22**(1):123-31. [in Persian]
- **47.** Organization WH. Global status report on road safety 2015: World Health Organization; 2015.
- **48.** Desapriya E. The effectiveness of intervention strategies to reduce motor vehicle crashes involving older drivers. A preliminary report on the systematic review. The British Columbia Injury Research and Prevention Unit (BCIRPU): UK; 2007.
- **49.** Crandall M, Streams J, Duncan T, Mallat A, Greene W, Violano P, et al. Motor vehicle collision-related injuries in the elderly: An Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma evidence-based review of risk factors

and prevention. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.* 2015;**79**(1):152-8.

- 50. Hanowski RJ, Bittner Jr AC, Knipling RR, Byrne EA, Parasuraman R, editors. Analysis of older driver safety interventions: a human factors taxonomic approach. Proceedings of the ITS America 1995 Annual Meeting; 1995: Citeseer.
- **51.** Boot WR, Stothart C, Charness N. Improving the safety of aging road users: a mini-review. *Gerontology*. 2014;**60**(1):90-6.
- Polders E, Vlahogianni E, Leopold F, Durso C. ElderSafe-Risks and countermeasures for road traffic of elderly in Europe (No. MOVE/ C4/2014-244). Brussels: European Commission–Directorate-General for mobility and transport (DG-MOVE). 2015.
- **53.** Arumugam S, Al-Hassani A, El-Menyar A, Abdelrahman H, Parchani A, Peralta R, et al. Frequency, causes and pattern of abdominal trauma: a 4-year descriptive analysis. *Journal of emergencies, trauma, and shock.* 2015;**8**(4):193.
- 54. Taibo CL, Moon TD, Joaquim OA, Machado CR, Merchant A, McQueen K, et al. Analysis of trauma admission data at an urban hospital in Maputo, Mozambique. *Int J Emerg Med.* 2016;9(1):6.
- **55.** Costa G, Tierno SM, Tomassini F, Venturini L, Frezza B, Cancrini G, et al. The epidemiology and clinical evaluation of abdominal trauma. An analysis of a multidisciplinary trauma registry. *Ann Ital Chir.* 2010;**81**(2):95-102.
- **56.** Gad MA, Saber A, Farrag S, Shams ME, Ellabban GM. Incidence, patterns, and factors predicting mortality of abdominal injuries in trauma patients. *N Am J Med Sci.* 2012;**4**(3):129-34.
- 57. Morrison JJ, Yapp LZ, Beattie A, Devlin E, Samarage M, McCaffer C, et al. The epidemiology of Scottish trauma: A comparison of pre-hospital and in-hospital deaths, 2000 to 2011. *Surgeon*. 2016;14(1):1-6.
- 58. Azami-Aghdash S, Gorji HA, Sadeghi-Bazargani H, Shabaninejad H. Epidemiology of road traffic injuries in Iran: based on the data from Disaster Management Information System (DMIS) of the Iranian Red Crescent. *Iran Red Crescent Med J.* 2017;19(1):e38743.

- Sachs JD. From millennium development goals to sustainable development goals. The *Lancet*. 2012;379(9832):2206-11.
- **60.** Brazinova A, Majdan M. Road traffic mortality in the Slovak Republic in 1996-2014. *Traffic Inj Prev.* 2016;**17**(7):692-8.
- Nepal S, Gupta S, Wong EG, Gurung S, Swaroop M, Kushner AL, et al. Burden of road traffic injuries in Nepal: results of a countrywide population-based survey. *Lancet*. 2015;**385** Suppl 2:S7.
- **62.** Celine TM, Antony J. A study on injuries sustained in road traffic accidents at a tertiary care level. *International Journal of Environmental Health Engineering*. 2014;**3**(1):23.
- **63.** Madubueze CC, Chukwu CO, Omoke NI, Oyakhilome OP, Ozo C. Road traffic injuries as seen in a Nigerian teaching hospital. *Int Orthop.* 2011;**35**(5):743-6.
- 64. Yongchaitrakul T, Juntakarn C, Prasartritha T. Socioeconomic inequality and road traffic accidents in Thailand: comparing cases treated in government hospitals inside and outside of Bangkok. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health*. 2012;**43**(3):785-94.
- **65.** Azami-Aghdash S, Sadeghi-Bazargani H, Shabaninejad H, Abolghasem Gorji H. Injury epidemiology in Iran: a systematic review. *J Inj Violence Res.* 2017;**9**(1).
- **66.** Mason J, Fulton L, McDonald Z. A global high shift cycling scenario. Retrieved from; 2015.
- **67.** El-Wajeeh M, Galal-Edeen G, Mokhtar H. Technology acceptance model for mobile health systems. *IOSR Journal of Mobile Computing and Acceptance*. 2014;1(1):21-33.
- **68.** Gorgin L, Salari Lak S, Khorasani D, Yavari Y. Survey demographic characteristics of elderly deaths due to road traffic injuries died from traffic accidents in Kurdistan Province during 2003-2009. *IJFM*. 2015;**21**(1):21-6.
- **69.** Hasani J, Hashemi Nazari SS, Khorshidi A, Shojaei A. Factors related to pedestrians mortality following road traffic accidents in Tehran and Alborz Provinces, Iran. *International Journal of Epidemiologic Research*. 2016;**3**(3):204-13.
- 70. Preusser DF, Williams AF, Ferguson

SA, Ulmer RG, Weinstein HB. Fatal crash risk for older drivers at intersections. *Accid Anal Prev.* 1998;**30**(2):151-9.

- **71.** Evans L. Risks older drivers face themselves and threats they pose to other road users. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2000;**29**(2):315-22.
- 72. Lyman S, Ferguson SA, Braver ER, Williams AF. Older driver involvements in police reported crashes and fatal crashes: trends and projections. *Inj Prev.* 2002;8(2):116-20.
- **73.** Lu JJ, Xing Y, Wang C, Cai X. Risk factors affecting the severity of traffic accidents at Shanghai river-crossing tunnel. *Traffic Inj Prev.* 2016;**17**(2):176-80.
- **74.** Duperrex O, Bunn F, Roberts I. Safety education of pedestrians for injury prevention: a systematic review of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ*. 2002;**324**(7346):1129.
- **75.** Ker K, Roberts I, Collier T, Renton F, Bunn F. Post-licence driver education for the prevention of road traffic crashes. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2003;(3):Cd003734.
- **76.** McCollough CH, Bruesewitz MR, McNitt-Gray MF, Bush K, Ruckdeschel T, Payne JT, et al. The phantom portion of the American College of Radiology (ACR) computed tomography (CT) accreditation program: practical tips, artifact examples, and pitfalls to avoid. *Med Phys.* 2004;**31**(9):2423-42.
- 77. Grabowski DC, Campbell CM, Morrisey MA. Elderly licensure laws and motor vehicle fatalities. *JAMA*. 2004;**291**(23):2840-6.
- Dugan E, Barton KN, Coyle C, Lee CM. US policies to enhance older driver safety: a systematic review of the literature. *J Aging Soc Policy*. 2013;25(4):335-52.
- Mitchell C. The licensing of older drivers in Europe—a case study. *Traffic injury prevention*. 2008;9(4):360-6.
- Bohensky M, Charlton J, Odell M, Keeffe J. Implications of vision testing for older driver licensing. *Traffic Inj Prev.* 2008;9(4):304-13.
- **81.** Desapriya E, Harjee R, Brubacher J, Chan H, Hewapathirane DS, Subzwari S, et al. Vision screening of older drivers for preventing road traffic injuries and fatalities. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2014;(2):Cd006252.

#### **Open Access License**

All articles published by Bulletin of Emergency And Trauma are fully open access: immediately freely available to read, download and share. Bulletin of Emergency And Trauma articles are published under a Creative Commons license (CC-BY-NC).